


[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 23 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. Development class room
2. Good
3. so bed expiriyance

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 29 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. NO
- 2. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 110 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Better Envermental Area
2. NO
3. VERY WELL
4. ok
5. OK
6. Every thing l'd good
7. Every thing is good
8. Every thing is good
9. ok
10. OK
11. Very good
12. Very good
13. ok
14. ERW FE R

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 117 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. No Suggestions
- 2. No Suggestion.
- 3. No Suggestion.
- 4. No Suggestion.
- 5. OK
- 6. OK
- 7. OK
- 8. WELL
- 9. OK
- 10. VERY WELL
- 11. yes
- 12. OK
- 13. OK
- 14. GOOD
- 15. Good
- 16. Very good
- 17. Very good
- 18. GOOD
- 19. GOOD
- 20. All ok
- 21. good
- 22. BEST

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 1074 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. ok
2. Very good
3. OK
4. ok
5. XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
6. None
7. VERY GOOD
8. ok
9. Veri good
10. Very good
11. GOOD
12. Very good
13. Very good
14. Very good
15. Very good
16. Good
17. Good
18. Good
19. Ok
20. All teachers good
21. HHHHHHHHHHH
22. OK
23. ok
24. OK
25. No Suggestion.
26. GOOD
27. JJJJJJ
28. GOOD
29. GOOD
30. GOOD
31. ALL GOOD

- 32. OK
- 33. OK
- 34. OK
- 35. ok
- 36. No
- 37. good
- 38. He is really helpful teacher...nThanks a lot sir
- 39. ok
- 40. ok
- 41. It's ok
- 42. Good
- 43. Good
- 44. The study method in our college is very good and the teachers are very good.
- 45. Nothing
- 46. No issue
- 47. ok
- 48. Very good
- 49. good
- 50. good
- 51. Good
- 52. Very good
- 53. Ok
- 54. Good
- 55. Good
- 56. III
- 57. Very good
- 58. SMART TEACHING
- 59. OK
- 60. All giid
- 61. Ok
- 62. Very good
- 63. Good
- 64. Khub valo
- 65. ????????? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?
- 66. Good
- 67. UUUUUU
- 68. GOOD
- 69. OK
- 70. OK

Total1074 Response Found

- 71. GOOD
- 72. GOOD
- 73. goog
- 74. Good
- 75. Goodn
- 76. BETTER
- 77. Good
- 78. NA
- 79. BEST
- 80. BETTER THAN
- 81. No
- 82. Teaching method is very good
- 83. OK
- 84. Good
- 85. Very good
- 86. Good
- 87. GOOD
- 88. OK
- 89. DFGESDFGES
- 90. Good
- 91. GOOD
- 92. very good
- 93. Good
- 94. Good
- 95. OK
- 96. No
- 97. No
- 98. No
- 99. No
- 100. none
- 101. Nome
- 102. GOOD
- 103. None
- 104. NO
- 105. Good
- 106. No problem
- 107. No problem
- 108. OK
- 109. NA

Total1074 Response Found

- 110. OK
- 111. OK
- 112. Good
- 113. GOOD
- 114. OK
- 115. GOOD
- 116. very good
- 117. OK
- 118. Good
- 119. OK
- 120. FUCK OFF
- 121. Very good
- 122. Very good
- 123. GOOD
- 124. GD
- 125. OK
- 126. NNNNNNNNNN
- 127. GOOD TECHING
- 128. good
- 129. Goodn
- 130. GOOD
- 131. Good


Total1074 Response Found

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 183 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. nothing
- 2. ?? ????? ???? ???? ?
- 3. ok
- 4. Better Envermental Area
- 5. GOOD
- 6. YES
- 7. GOOD
- 8. OK
- 9. TEACHER BEHABIHER VERY GOOD FOR STUDENT
- 10. VERY GOOD
- 11. yes
- 12. ALL IS WELL
- 13. good
- 14. NO
- 15. Nothing
- 16. Good

Total34 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 6

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 34 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Total34 Response Found

Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 6

Suggestions


- 1. After better than
- 2. gathering knowldge from my clg
- 3. Very good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 98 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. OK
- 2. GOOD
- 3. NA
- 4. Very good
- 5. Vary good
- 6. good
- 7. ALL IS WELL
- 8. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 60 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. Good
- 2. Porasona thik cholcha
- 3. Very nice
- 4. EXCELLENT
- 5. TEACHING TECHNIC GOOD
- 6. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 22 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. We want advices and help of Sir for our future life establishment .
- 2. OK
- 3. OK

Total63 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 6

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 63 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Total63 Response Found

Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 6

Suggestions


- 1. GOOD
- 2. ok
- 3. EXCELLENT
- 4. EXCELLENT
- 5. GOOD
- 6. OK
- 7. Every is good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 146 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. very good
2. very good
3. Good
4. Good
5. Ok
6. Ok
7. Good teaching
8. Good
9. No idea
10. good
11. Good
12. ????????????
13. OK
14. good
15. Good
16. This is very good and useful I have proud to it.

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 21 Response


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

- 1. Nice
- 2. Nice
- 3. Nothingn
- 4. OK
- 5. OK
- 6. No need

Total22 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 2

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 22 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Total22 Response Found

Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 2

Suggestions


1. Good
2. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 73 Response


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Good
2. Nice
3. Very good
4. Practical education required
5. I don't know
6. Nothing
7. Good
8. Good
9. No

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 30 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. ok
2. Ok

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 14 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. GOOD
- 2. Ok

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 63 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


- 1. GOOD
- 2. YES
- 3. GOOD
- 4. GOOD
- 5. GOOD
- 6. GOOD
- 7. GOOD
- 8. GOOD
- 9. GOOD
- 10. GOOD
- 11. GOOD
- 12. GOOD
- 13. Excellent
- 14. Ok

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 527 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Excellent sir
2. Ok
3. NO
4. GREAT
5. GOOD
6. GOOD
7. PPPPPPPP
8. No
9. GOOD
10. AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
11. Library
12. Library
13. Good
14. Good
15. ok
16. OK
17. All good
18. Good
19. Sir amader khub valo vabe poriya thake . Thank you sir.
20. GOOD
21. ok
22. No
23. Good
24. GOOD
25. good
26. NO
27. OK
28. Watter Shotage
29. NA
30. OK
31. GOOD

32. GOOD

33. GOOD

34. Good

35. Good

36. Not bad

37. OK

38. na

39. Good

40. good

41. Very good college

42. No

43. No

44. good

45. No

46. Khub valo

47. Good

48. Excellent

49. good

50. Good

51. Good

52. Ok

53. Good


Total527 Response Found

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 69 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. n
- 2. No
- 3. No

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 19 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


- 1. GOOD
- 2. Good
- 3. Guide and Counseling
- 4. Ok

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 77 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. No teacher can be taught outside the college.
2. very good
3. OK
4. OK
5. good
6. NO
7. Nice
8. ????????
9. Good
10. Nice
11. I need more classes from this sir
12. Good
13. Good
14. Good
15. Good
16. Good

Total36 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 10

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 36 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Total36 Response Found

Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 10

Suggestions


- 1. No suggestion
- 2. Good institution
- 3. All is well
- 4. OK
- 5. OK
- 6. Very self centered teacher, not friendly with the students
- 7. OK

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 443 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Good
2. ok
3. hi
4. SOUND SYSTEM INSTALL EVERY CLASS ROOM.
5. Give Me More Guidance
6. I wish the teacher always maintain this system.
7. Thank you
8. TECHING GOOD
9. Very good
10. OK
11. Very good
12. very good
13. HHHHHHHH
14. VERY GOOD
15. Very good
16. The study method here is very good and students have to take classes every day on time and students have to go to college every day.
17. Teaching method is very good and students will do better if they attend classes regularly.
18. Very good
19. GOOD
20. Excellent
21. It is better to fix the syllabus from the beginning of the class.
22. The teacher's lecture quality is very good
23. NA
24. Good
25. Good
26. Good
27. We wants to available books in library roomn
28. Sir teaches us very carefully
29. all are good
30. ok

- 31. hi
- 32. Best teacher
- 33. Our teachers are very good
- 34. Good
- 35. Thank you
- 36. IIIII
- 37. Good
- 38. Good
- 39. NOTHING
- 40. Valo
- 41. Yes
- 42. GOOD
- 43. OK
- 44. GOOD
- 45. ha
- 46. Good
- 47. Thank you
- 48. Nothing
- 49. All is good
- 50. Good
- 51. Don't have any.
- 52. I think that we should have smart class room in our college.
- 53. GOOD
- 54. GOOD
- 55. good
- 56. OK
- 57. OK
- 58. GOOD
- 59. Thank you
- 60. Good
- 61. Excellent
- 62. Excellent
- 63. NOTHING
- 64. NOTHING

Total443 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 3

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 36 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. Very bad

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 17 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. na
- 2. HHHHHH
- 3. GD
- 4. ex

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 33 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. GOOD
- 2. No Suggestion.
- 3. No Suggestion.
- 4. OK
- 5. NR
- 6. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 97 Response


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Total97 Response Found

Suggestions


1. NA

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 523 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Veri good
2. GOOD
3. Very good
4. GOOD
5. NC
6. GOOD
7. Very good
8. GOOD
9. Very good
10. Very good
11. BETTER
12. Ok
13. yes
14. LLLL
15. Very good
16. Very good
17. Very good
18. NA
19. NA
20. NA
21. VERY GOOD
22. Ok
23. ALL GOOD
24. No
25. All good
26. nyce
27. No experience
28. ok
29. Good
30. Good
31. Go away

32. Good

Total523 Response Found

33. LLLL

34. All good

35. OK

36. GOOD

37. Good

38. Good

39. BETTER

40. Sir is very good this college

41. OK

42. ok

43. GOOD

44. nice

45. GOOD

46. nice

47. Good

48. GOOD

49. GOOD

50. Very good

51. Very good

52. GOOD

53. OK

54. na

55. Hii

56. GOOD

57. BETTER

58. GOOD

59. ok

60. OK

61. OK

62. OK

63. GOOD

64. OK

65. OK

66. NA

67. OK

68. Very good

69. NOTHING

70. GOOD

2/9/25, 5:20 PM

Students' Feedback on Teacher - all--History-Dr. Naba Kumar AdakK-2023-2024(January-June)-All-(9_2_2025)


71. GOOD	Total523 Response Found
72. GOOD	
73. Nice	

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 20 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. THANK YOU
2. THANK YOU
3. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 130 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher










4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class





Suggestions


1. THANK YOU
2. yes
3. good
4. good
5. good
6. good
7. good
8. GOOD
9. Very good
10. Very good
11. Good
12. OK
13. OK
14. OK
15. GOOD
16. Very good
17. Very good
18. Very good
19. GOOD
20. GOOD
21. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 22 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Best

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 21 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good





11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


- 1. Very good
- 2. Nice
- 3. Very good
- 4. Good
- 5. GOOD
- 6. Very good
- 7. Very good
- 8. Good
- 9. Ok good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 47 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher



4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged





11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating





Suggestions


- 1. Very good
- 2. I don't know
- 3. VERY GOOD
- 4. GOOD
- 5. OK
- 6. OK
- 7. Very good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 412 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. NA
2. Very good
3. BBBB BBBB
4. CCCCCCCCC
5. GOOD
6. GOOD
7. GOOD
8. Good
9. YES
10. GOOD
11. Very y
12. GOOD
13. No idea
14. LLL
15. GOOD
16. GOOD
17. OK
18. All good
19. All good
20. All good
21. OK
22. YES
23. YES
24. Good
25. Good
26. Good
27. Very good
28. Yes
29. Yes
30. No
31. No


- 32. Yes
- 33. Good
- 34. Good
- 35. No
- 36. No
- 37. Best
- 38. Watter Shotage
- 39. OK
- 40. GOOD
- 41. GOOD
- 42. No
- 43. Verry good
- 44. GOODn
- 45. No
- 46. EVERYTHING IS FINE
- 47. EVERYTHING IS FINE
- 48. good
- 49. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 55 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. good
- 2. OK
- 3. ok
- 4. YES
- 5. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 63 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


- 1. GOOD
- 2. GOOD
- 3. Thanks
- 4. KKKKK
- 5. Good
- 6. Good
- 7. ok
- 8. Very good
- 9. Very good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 26 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. Nothing
- 2. Nothing
- 3. Nothing

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 125 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. Ok
2. GOOD
3. LLLLLL
4. In this department to class room was far away.
5. I am proud of our Sabang College
6. VERY GOOD
7. OK
8. Good
9. OUUUUUUU
10. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 34 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Total34 Response Found

Suggestions


- 1. Increase library book
- 2. Nil
- 3. Good
- 4. Good
- 5. OK
- 6. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 52 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


1. Good

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 60 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Total60 Response Found

Suggestions


1. BBBB
2. BETTER
3. ok
4. YES
5. GOOD
6. Good
7. Thiks
8. GOOD

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 337 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. VERY GOOD
2. XXXXXXXXXXXX
3. JKV
4. NO
5. GOOD
6. Very good
7. Very
8. better
9. Very good
10. Very good
11. Very good
12. good
13. Ok
14. OKn
15. Good
16. no
17. need departmental library.
18. good
19. All Are Good
20. NA
21. No idea
22. No
23. pppppppp
24. GOOD
25. good
26. Class development
27. GOOD
28. GOOD
29. GOOD
30. GOOD
31. GOOD

32. Good

33. Good

34. good

35. good

36. GOOD

37. goodn

38. No

39. sir is great

40. BETTER IN FUTURE

41. future in better

42. hmmm

43. yes

44. good

45. GOOD

46. GOOD

47. good

48. Aaa

49. OK

50. No

51. ONLAIN CLASS

52. GOOD

53. GOOD


Total337 Response Found

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 38 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. No
- 2. GOOD
- 3. VERY GOOD
- 4. anything are good but future more than better
- 5. GOOD
- 6. OK
- 7. goodngood
- 8. all ok

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 91 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions


- 1. VERY GOOD
- 2. GOOD
- 3. GOOD
- 4. GOOD
- 5. GOOD
- 6. verry good
- 7. wow
- 8. good
- 9. na
- 10. good
- 11. Excellent performance
- 12. excelent
- 13. BEST

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 16 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




7. Lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




8. Subject coverage was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




9. Nature of delivery was

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




11. Presentation of the lecture was.

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good




Suggestions

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 51 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher











4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses

6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class





Suggestions


- 1. Very good
- 2. VERY GOOD
- 3. OK
- 4. ok
- 5. ok
- 6. GOOD
- 7. OK
- 8. OK
- 9. OK
- 10. OK
- 11. OK

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 29 Response


1.Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



1. ?????? ?????????? ???? ??????? ??? n??? ?????? ????????????? ??????? ?????? ???? ???? ??????? ??????? ??????? ????
2. Great sir .????
3. OK
4. ok
5. OK

Total61 Response Found


Minimum 13 response needed to generate Pie Chart. Response found 11

Academics / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 61 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was




10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions


1. No Suggestion.
2. NA
3. OK
4. OK
5. Good Teaching Sir and Mam
6. Good Teaching

[Academics](#) / Feedback / Students' Feedback on Teacher


Students' Feedback

Based on 186 Response


1.Kowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility



3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher




5. Ability to integrate content with other courses


6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.




8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was



10. Whether questions from students were encouraged




11. Presentation of the lecture was.




12. Overall rating

- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



- Unsatisfactory
- Average
- Satisfactory
- Good
- Very Good



Suggestions

- 1. HHHHHHHHHHHH
- 2. GOOD
- 3. VERY GOOD
- 4. Nil
- 5. GOOD
- 6. Very good
- 7. ok
- 8. Improvement everything
- 9. Lab is not good
- 10. OK
- 11. OK
- 12. GOOD
- 13. VERY GOOD
- 14. Aeverything all good
- 15. All good
- 16. All good
- 17. ZZZZZZ
- 18. All are ok
- 19. All good
- 20. All good